Triglyceride-to-glucose index to detect a non-dipping circadian pattern in newly diagnosed hypertensive patients
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Introduction
Dipper-pattern (DP) blood pressure (BP) is described as a both systolic and diastolic BP fall of more than 10% during the nighttime compared to daytime.1 On the other hand, a fail to decrease more than 10% in BP during the nighttime is referred to as non-dipper pattern (non-DP) BP, which is linked to adverse cardiovascular events and target organ damage.2 Thus, 24-hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (ABPM) is often performed to detect the lack of this variability in high-risk patients.

Insulin resistance (IR) is a pathological status in which insulin has a lower biological effect than expected. IR is thought to be a risk factor for heart disease.3 Moreover, the association of IR with hypertension had been established in previous studies, and a higher prevalence of non-DP was found in patients with IR.4 Triglyceride-glucose (TyG) index has emerged as a useful marker of IR that is calculated based on fasting glucose and triglyceride.5 The TyG index is found to be superior to the homeostasis model assessment of IR (HOMA-IR) for assessing IR.6 The association of the TyG index with cardiovascular diseases, including coronary artery disease, hypertension, arterial stiffness, and carotid atherosclerosis, was reported in previous studies.7-9 However, no prior study has evaluated the association of the TyG index with the circadian pattern of BP. Thus, the goal of this study was to assess if there was a link between the TyG index and non-DP in newly diagnosed hypertensive patients who were not on antihypertensive treatment.

Materials and Methods

Data collection
In all, 216 newly-diagnosed treatment-naive hypertensive patients who had both clinical and 24-hour ABPM assessments at the cardiology outpatient clinic between January 2015 and March 2020 were included in this
retrospective, cross-sectional study. Hypertension was defined as two or more measures of systolic blood pressure \((\geq 140 \text{ mmHg})\) and/or diastolic blood pressure \((\geq 90 \text{ mmHg})\), on separate days and the mean 24-hour ABPM SBP \(\geq 130 \text{ mmHg}\) and/or the mean 24-h ABPM DBP \(\geq 80 \text{ mmHg}\) or the mean daytime 24-hour ABPM SBP \(\geq 135 \text{ mmHg}\) and/or the mean daytime 24-hour ABPM DBP \(\geq 85 \text{ mmHg}\) as recommended in a recent guideline published by European Society of Cardiology. Patients with a high clinical BP who underwent a 24-hour ABPM were included in the study. The exclusion criteria were as the followings; patients who were diagnosed with hypertension previously and/or used anti-hypertensive treatment and those who were treated with anti-hyperlipidemic or anti-hyperglycemic drugs, had diabetes mellitus, coronary artery disease, heart failure, creatinine level above 1.5 mg/dL, hepatic disease, acute or chronic infectious disease, inflammatory disease, and malignancy. The current study was carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, version 2008.

**Laboratory analysis**

After a 12-hour overnight fast, all blood samples were taken in the morning. The Coulter LH 750 analyzer (Beckman Coulter, Galway, Ireland) was used to assess the total blood count parameters. The following formula was used to determine the TyG index; TyG index = In (fasting triglyceride \((\text{mg/dL})\) x fasting glucose \((\text{mg/dL})/2\)).

HOMA-IR was calculated as; HOMA-IR = fasting insulin \((\text{microU/L})\) x fasting glucose \((\text{mg/dL})/405.10\)

**Blood pressure measurement**

The BP measurement of each patient was performed two or more times on separate days after at least 10 minutes of rest at the cardiology outpatient clinic. Patients with high clinical BP (mean SBP \(\geq 140 \text{ mmHg}\) and/or mean DBP \(\geq 90 \text{ mmHg}\)) underwent 24-hour ABPM.

**24-h ABPM**

A 24-hour ABPM (Schiller MT-300 BP, Baar, Switzerland), which recorded BP and pulse rate in the non-dominant arm at 15-minute intervals in the daytime and at 30-minute intervals at nighttime, was performed in patients with high BP. The daytime was referred to the time interval between 06:00 A.M. and 10:00 P.M., and the nighttime was referred to the time interval between 10:00 P.M. and 06:00 A.M. In patients whose acceptable measurements in daytime and nighttime were below 70%, second 24-hour ABPM was conducted. DP was accepted as a 10% or more decrease in BP during the nighttime period compared to daytime, whereas non-DP was accepted as less than a 10% decrease in BP in that period.

**Statistical Analysis**

All analyses were performed using R-Studio Version 4.0.3 (RStudio, Boston, MA, USA).

The normality of the data was determined using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Categorical variables were presented as numbers and percentages. Quantitative variables with a normal distribution were reported as mean (standard deviation) and those without normal distribution as median (25-75th interquartile range). The statistical differences in continuous variables between the groups were calculated using an independent Student’s t-test or a Mann-Whitney U test. To compare categorical variables, the chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test were used, as applicable. The independent determinants of non-DP status were determined using univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis. The model in the multivariable logistic regression analysis was created with the variables that were statistically significant in the univariable logistic regression analysis. To avoid multicollinearity and interaction, fasting glucose, fasting triglyceride, and fasting insulin were not included in the multivariate model with TyG index and HOMA-IR. There were no additional variables with multicollinearity in the model. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was employed to detect the optimal cutoff value for the TyG index in detecting patients with non-DP status using the Youden index. ROC curve comparisons were computed using the DeLong test between TyG index, triglyceride, glucose, and HOMA-IR to compare the discrimination ability of those variables for non-DP in hypertensive patients. Spearman rank-correlation analyses were performed to determine the associations between the TyG index and the declines of both SBP and DBP from daytime to nighttime. We calculated a-priori required minimum total sample size as 98 with an effect size of 0.57 with 80% power and 0.05 alfa error probability by calculating the effect size based on a previous report.

Thus, we conducted this study with 216 patients. A post-hoc study power was calculated as 99% with 0.98 effect size for our study. Statistical significance was defined as a two-sided \(P\) value < 0.05.

**Results**

The study population comprised 216 patients who were categorized into two groups according to 24-hour ABPM as DP \((n = 104\) cases, 62.5% male) and with non-DP \((n = 112\) cases, 56.2% male). The non-DP group had higher fasting glucose, triglyceride, TyG index, clinical SBP and DBP, low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol, fasting insulin, HOMA-IR, and red cell distribution width (RDW) compared to the DP group. The other characteristics of patients were given in Table 1.

24-hour ABPM results were demonstrated in Table 2. The non-DP group had higher values of 24-hour mean BP, nighttime SBP, nighttime DBP, and nighttime mean BP than the DP group. Clinical SBP, TyG index, HOMA-IR, RDW, LDL cholesterol, and 24-hour mean BP were independent predictors of non-DP status in hypertensive patients (Table 3). Spearman correlation analysis was remarkable.
Table 1. Basal characteristics of patients with dipper and non-dipper hypertension

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dipper pattern (n = 104)</th>
<th>Non-dipper pattern (n = 112)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>51.1 (12.0)</td>
<td>50.7 (12.4)</td>
<td>0.829*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men, n (%)</td>
<td>65 (62.5)</td>
<td>63 (56.2)</td>
<td>0.426*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker, n (%)</td>
<td>27 (26.0)</td>
<td>32 (28.6)</td>
<td>0.782*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>27.4 (5.57)</td>
<td>28.0 (4.10)</td>
<td>0.219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical SBP, mmHg</td>
<td>143 (8.88)</td>
<td>146 (11.4)</td>
<td>0.034*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical DBP, mmHg</td>
<td>90.9 (6.29)</td>
<td>92.9 (7.64)</td>
<td>0.031*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting glucose, mg/dL</td>
<td>92.5 (2.5)</td>
<td>94.2 (3.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting insulin</td>
<td>10.9 (11.1-13.3)</td>
<td>11.5 (10.1-14.6)</td>
<td>0.003*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-IR</td>
<td>2.2 (1.4-2.6)</td>
<td>2.5 (1.9-3.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine, mg/dL</td>
<td>0.75 (0.18)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.13)</td>
<td>0.719</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fasting lipid status, mg/dL.**

- Total cholesterol: 189 (28.3) vs. 187 (32.3) (P = 0.602*).
- HDL-cholesterol: 45.0 (40.0-53.9) vs. 46.0 (37.8-56.0) (P = 0.844*).
- LDL-cholesterol: 104 (30) vs. 117 (29) (P = 0.002*).
- Triglycerides: 148 (101-184) vs. 174 (151-218) (P < 0.001*).
- TyG index: 8.82 (8.5-9.08) vs. 9.15 (8.96-9.42) (P < 0.001*).
- WBC, x10^9/L: 7.72 (1.83) vs. 7.46 (1.65) (P = 0.272*).
- Hemoglobin, g/L: 14.5 (1.3) vs. 14.9 (1.8) (P = 0.07).
- Platelet, x10^9/L: 275 (60.2) vs. 270 (56.4) (P = 0.512).
- MCV, fL: 87 (15.4) vs. 88 (6.6) (P = 0.06).
- RDW, %: 13.2 (12.6-13.6) vs. 13.5 (13.1-13.9) (P < 0.001*).

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; HDL, high-density cholesterol; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; LDL, low-density cholesterol; MCV, mean corpuscular volume; RDW, red cell distribution width; SBP, systolic blood pressure; TyG, triglyceride-glucose index; WBC, white blood cell.

Continuous variables with normal distribution are presented as mean (standard deviation) and those with non-normal distribution as median (interquartile range). Categorical variables are presented as numbers (%).
* Independent sample t-test was used for comparison between groups.
& Mann-Whitney U test was used for comparison between groups.
& Chi-squared test was used for comparison between groups.

with negatively significant correlation of TyG index with a decrease in both SBP (R = -0.34, P < 0.001, Figure 1) and DBP (R = -0.29, P < 0.001, Figure 2). The results of the ROC analysis revealed that the ideal cutoff point of the TyG index in determining non-dipping status was ≥9.01 with 74.1% sensitivity and 71.2% specificity. In ROC comparisons, the area under curve (AUC) value of TyG index was superior to fasting triglyceride, fasting glucose, and HOMA-IR in detecting non-DP status.

Hypertension is a well-recognized risk factor for cardiovascular disease, stroke, and target organ damage. Especially, this risk increases in correlation with an increase in BP. Compared to clinic BP measurements, much information could be obtained by 24-hour ABPM, including mean BP level, diurnal variation, and BP variability. Within a 24-hour circadian cycle, 24-hour ABPM can discriminate hypertensive patients based on DP and non-DP. Non-DP BP is more closely associated with cardiovascular events than DP BP. Non-DP BP has been shown to be associated with several clinical conditions such as autonomic dysfunction, chronic kidney disease, connective tissue disease, malignancy, hypothyroidism, and chronic inflammation. Non-DP was also found to be more common among patients with older age, high salt intake, high stress, poor sleep quality, obesity, and metabolic syndrome.

IR, which is considered as the major pathologic underlying mechanism of metabolic syndrome, may have a key role in the link between hypertension and metabolic diseases. It is noted that the presence of IR is associated with an increased risk of hypertension. The pathologic link between the development of hypertension and IR might be explained by impaired endothelium-dependent vasodilation, enhanced response to endogenous vasoconstrictors, sympathetic nervous system activation, increased sodium reabsorption in kidneys, and anti-diuretic effect of insulin. Diabetic patients are at a higher risk for developing non-DP BP. Tartan et al reported that patients with a higher metabolic syndrome score had more frequent non-DP. Similarly, Mea et al showed that patients with non-DP BP tend to have higher IR, which was assessed by HOMA-IR and adiponectin levels, than patients with DP BP. The detection of IR plays a critical role in the diagnosis and treatment of hypertension.

**Discussion**

The results of the current study showed that the TyG index was higher in non-DP patients than in DP patients with newly diagnosed drug-naive hypertensive patients. Clinical SBP, RDW, LDL cholesterol, 24-hour mean BP, HOMA-IR, and TyG index were independent predictors of non-DP among these patients. The TyG index was negatively associated with the decrease of both SBP and DBP from daytime to nighttime. Furthermore, the AUC value of the TyG index was superior to fasting triglyceride, fasting glucose, and HOMA-IR in detecting non-DP status.

**Table 2.** 24-hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring values of dipper and non-dipper groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dipper pattern (n = 104)</th>
<th>Non-dipper pattern (n = 112)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24-hour SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>133.7 (14.6)</td>
<td>134.9 (16.6)</td>
<td>0.561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-hour DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>83.9 (11.2)</td>
<td>86.1 (13.3)</td>
<td>0.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-hour mean BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>101.2 (7.1)</td>
<td>107.3 (7.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daytime SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>131.2 (13.5)</td>
<td>136.7 (16.2)</td>
<td>0.083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daytime DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>87.1 (11.4)</td>
<td>88 (14.3)</td>
<td>0.598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daytime mean BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>106.9 (7.6)</td>
<td>107.8 (8.8)</td>
<td>0.439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nighttime SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>116.4 (13.4)</td>
<td>132.1 (16.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nighttime DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>75.1 (9.4)</td>
<td>83.5 (11.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nighttime mean BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>88.9 (7.8)</td>
<td>99.9 (8.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: DBP, diastolic blood pressure; SBP, systolic blood pressure.
* Independent sample t-test was used for comparison between groups.
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pivotal role in the prevention of hypertension and as well as in considering therapy modalities for hypertension. HOMA-IR, insulin level, and insulin-to-glucose ratio were used to evaluate IR, all of them were found positively correlated with the risk of hypertension. We showed in this study that non-dipper patients had higher HOMA-IR, which was detected as an independent predictor of patients with a non-DP in the current study.

TyG index has been widely investigated as a marker of IR in the literature and its association with cardiovascular diseases and adverse events has been evaluated in previous studies. Jian et al concluded that the TyG index was found to be significantly related to the risk of hypertension. In a meta-analysis consisting of eight observational studies, Wang et al reported that patients with a high TyG index had a 1.53-fold increased risk of developing hypertension. TyG index was reported to be associated with subclinical arterial stiffness. Furthermore, the TyG index was also superior to HOMA-IR in predicting the incidence of carotid atherosclerosis. Similarly, the TyG index was found superior to HOMA-IR in detecting non-DP in our study. Sanchez-Inigo et al reported that the TyG index was related to the development risk of cardiovascular events. In accordance with this study, Wang et al reported that the TyG index was independently correlated with adverse events after acute coronary syndrome in diabetic patients. In this study, we identified a statistically significant difference in TyG index between patients with and without DP BP. The TyG index was also independently linked with non-DP in hypertensive patients. According to our results, the TyG index appears to be an effective marker for detecting non-DP BP in hypertensive patients.

RDW and LDL-cholesterol were also found as independent predictors of non-DP in our study. RDW, which is the heterogeneity in the measure of erythrocytes, reflects enhanced inflammation and has been suggested as a prognostic indicator in cardiovascular diseases. The relationship between inflammation and non-DP was presented in a previous report. Ozcan et al reported that RDW was an independent predictor of non-DP, which was similar to our results. There were contradictory reports on the association of dyslipidemia with the non-DP. Sunbul et al reported that hyperlipidemia was an independent predictor of non-DP. In contrast, Chotruangnapa et al could find an independent relation between dyslipidemia and non-DP. LDL-cholesterol was independently correlated with non-DP in our study, which might suggest the link between metabolic syndrome and non-DP.

Our study results are valuable for daily clinical practice. Since detecting hypertensive patients who are at high risk is crucial for initiating preventive treatment besides anti-hypertensive treatment, an easily calculable TyG index could provide to identify hypertensive patient’s cardiovascular risk as a better marker of IR than HOMA-IR. Non-DP patients with higher TyG index levels might be more prone to cardiovascular adverse events than those

### Table 3. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis for detecting non-dipper status.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Univariate OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Multivariate OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinical SBP</td>
<td>1.029(1.002-1.057)</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>1.043(1.007-1.080)</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TyG index</td>
<td>9.029(4.196-19.429)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>9.757(3.929-24.226)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-IR</td>
<td>1.796(1.322-2.440)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>2.286(1.513-3.455)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDW</td>
<td>1.811(1.293-2.537)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.864(1.203-2.887)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol</td>
<td>1.015(1.005-1.024)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>1.018(1.005-1.031)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-hour mean BP</td>
<td>1.116(1.071-1.164)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.143(1.080-1.209)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BP, blood pressure; CI, confidence interval; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; LDL, low density cholesterol; OR, odds ratio; RDW, red cell distribution width; SBP, systolic blood pressure; TyG, triglyceride-glucose index.

* Logistic regression analysis was used.

---

**Figure 1.** The correlation plot of the TyG index with the decline of SBP from day to night. Abbreviations; SBP: systolic blood pressure, TyG: triglyceride-glucose.

**Figure 2.** The correlation plot of the TyG index with the decline of DBP from day to night. Abbreviations; DBP: diastolic blood pressure, TyG: triglyceride-glucose.
The major limitations of our study were retrospective design and a single-center study. Due to the cross-sectional study design, there was a lack of inference of causality of results. The other limitation was that fasting blood glucose, fasting triglyceride, and TyG index were measured once at baseline, and we could not get information about the effect of changes in these variables by the follow-up on 24-hour ABPM measurements. The results of the study may have been misestimated because only patients with high clinical BP who underwent 24-hour ABPM were taken and those without 24-hour ABPM were excluded. Finally, this study was conducted in one regional area. Thus, our findings might not be applicable to other areas.

Conclusion
In this investigation, we found that the non-DP patients had a higher TyG index, and it was an independent predictor of non-DP among these patients. Additionally, the AUC value of the TyG index was superior to fasting glucose, fasting triglyceride, and HOMA-IR in the discrimination of non-DP BP.
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